

some months has  
and is thought by a  
I hope he is not so  
However that may  
arrangements for  
death to Cambridge  
(Cambridge) and I be  
and gluing it and  
myself and I may in that case 'want to purchase  
a considerable quantity of paper for ever and  
I think your mill will turn out to be best paper  
cost price freight & duty.

It is I believe now quite settled that Pultney  
has in view goes to Oxford University, either  
to build for it at the Botanic garden and lay aside  
an annual sum toward keeping it up.

I have been almost entirely occupied with  
Indian Leguminosae - I have worked up about  
100 species of Astragalus (including those) Oxytropis  
and small allied genera. I hope Jot. Foster and  
P. Thomson are going to begin immediately their  
Flora India and if so I shall do the Leguminosae.  
I am also getting on with *Plorula* *Bois* *Long* *Long*  
as fast as I can get it printed but it is miserable  
work only getting in 8 pages at a time and every  
now and then "no room" even for that. In the  
mean time Hume is making a map in *Walpers*  
*Annale* - for new genus allied to *Dalmanium* is the  
old *Delium* *marumtoria* and he has a new genus of  
*Ambretaria* of which the essential character is to  
have no ovary at all! - Bred has published  
an *Epimelion* *Botanicae* "satirized" as usual by two  
years and replete with blunders.

I sent a paper on *Arctostaphylos* *Reichenow*  
to Copenhagen in April - and am about to do his  
*Compositae*.

With kindest regards to Mr Gray to  
whom Mr Beatham will write shortly  
believe me ever your most sincerely  
George Beatham

Dear Gray

Before I forget it I must  
mention that the Amer. Eycl. Expedition  
plant from Sydney which we named  
*Scouma australis* is not the true  
plant figured in Bot. Mag. <sup>F. 1855</sup> but  
appears to be *Scouma jermuoides*, Swt.

Wright's 434 is certainly *Monechma*  
*pilobella* Wier and no *Monechma* as you  
suspect. - 436 must be a new genus.

I cannot rightly understand  
Nes's American genera and do not  
think them near so well done as the  
Indian portion - and I can now often  
looking at the plants again say no  
more than I did in town without  
reworking up Nes's *Juticoid* genera  
which would take up a long time.

Gray do not send the money  
for *Sporocaulon* etc. without hearing  
from you for I may very likely  
give you the commission to lay it out

in paper for covers

We returned from town on Tuesday last - I was very busy whilst there but got one week at New for botany whilst Mr Bentham was in Bedfordshire I spent it chiefly at Atrypa and taking notes from Capt Maars determinations of Hooker's tropical specimens

Brown & Bennett's last part of *Planta Japonica* is I hear out at last I have not seen it but Brown's *Bennetia* is now forestalled by Tulane's *Crematoschys*

D. Candolle's new vol. is out Danieli's *Colanem* with 900 folia species of the genus *Colanem*! - ten or dozen out of *S. tuberosum* & more than that out of *S. nigrum*

Tulane has just published his detailed memoir on *Podostemum* with beautiful illustrations & is a most accurate work

For Hooker's first part of *N. Zealand Flora* is also just out and the 2<sup>d</sup> part all ready for

press and printing.

I suppose I shall now soon have to send Dr Candolle the *Evogonum* I will then send Tommy back the few I have of his last parcel with the names.

Ever yours sincerely

George Bennett

I shall probably not stir from home (except for a few days) this summer and hope to get through a little of the work that accumulates around me

Caton & Edwards *Montney* n. 89 is the same as Wright's 435 and I think certainly a new *Anisacanthus*

Outward Home Hereford

June 2<sup>d</sup> [1852 - P.S.]

Yours of the 18<sup>th</sup> May just arrived may thank for the paper - Pray let me know what it costs per ream - I believe you knew Dr Sennar he had some attacks of paralysis last autumn and now for